

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,940	12/23/2	003	Richard C. Caponi	SPIROL/111/US	9382
2543	7590	09/08/2005	•	EXAM	INER
ALIX YALE	& RISTAS	LLP		FERGUSON,	MICHAEL P
750 MAIN ST	REET				
SUITE 1400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD,	CT 06103			3679	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,940		CAPONI, RICHARD C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
••	Michael P.	Ferguson	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 August 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003		cepted or b) object	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:	eign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have beer	received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bu	•	` ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTC	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/22/05</u> .	•	6) Other:	чент прриса ной (РТС	J-194)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summar	, i	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 083105			

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 19 (line 1) recites "of claim". It should recite --of claim 15--.

For the purpose of examining the application, it is assumed that appropriate correction has been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6,7,9-13,15,17,18,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs (DE 2 243 564).

As to claim 1, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin for insertion in a hole in a host material, comprising:

an elongated cylindrical body 1 constructed from a substantially homogeneous material, the body having a longitudinal axis and a formed portion providing a retaining surface that engages an inside surface of the hole;

Art Unit: 3679

the retaining surface defined by a plurality of helical lands 5 having a width separated by a plurality of helical grooves 2 of approximately (near the value of) equal width, the lands being partially formed from pin material displaced from the grooves,

wherein a portion of each land includes a cylindrical surface parallel to the longitudinal axis at a substantially uniform radial distance from the longitudinal axis (Figures 1 and 2).

As to claim 2, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein the lands **5** are oriented at an angle of approximately (near the value of) 45° relative to the longitudinal axis (Figure 1).

As to claim 3, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein the pin is formed from cylindrical stock having a first diameter and the retaining surface has a second diameter larger than the first diameter (page 4 lines 2-3).

As to claim 6, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein the lands **5** have a surface area that is approximately 40% of a surface area of the formed portion (Figure 1).

As to claim 7, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein a majority of each of the lands **5** has a substantially uniform height extending above the first diameter (cylindrical stock diameter prior to rolling; inherently the first diameter is approximately half of the depth of the groove) and the width of the land is approximately (near the value of) five times the height (Figure 1).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 9, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein a majority of each land 5 is a cylindrical surface parallel to and having a substantially uniform radial displacement from the longitudinal axis (Figure 2).

As to claim 10, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination comprising:

a substrate having a first hardness and defining a hole having a first diameter; and

a pin for insertion into the hole, the pin having a second hardness less than the first hardness (page 3 lines 16-19) and a retaining surface at a second diameter larger than the first diameter, the retaining surface defined by a plurality of lands 5 having a width separated by a plurality of grooves 2 of approximately (near the value of) equal width,

wherein a portion of each land includes a cylindrical surface parallel to the longitudinal axis at a substantially uniform radial distance from the longitudinal axis (Figures 1 and 2).

As to claim 11, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the second diameter is no more than approximately 4% larger (inherently) than the first diameter.

As to claim 12, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the lands **5** and the grooves **2** are helical (Figure 1).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 13, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the lands **5** and the grooves **2** are helical and have an angle of approximately (near the value of) 45° relative to an axis of the pin (Figure 1).

As to claim 15, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the pin is formed from cylindrical stock having a third diameter and the second diameter is greater than the third diameter (page 4 lines 2-3).

As to claim 17, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the retaining surface is carried on a formed portion of the pin and the lands **5** have a surface area which is approximately 40% of a surface area of the formed portion (Figure 1).

As to claim 18, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein a majority of each of the lands **5** has a substantially uniform height extending above the third diameter (cylindrical stock diameter prior to rolling; inherently the third diameter is approximately half of the depth of the groove) and the width of the land is approximately five times the height (Figure 1).

As to claim 20, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin and substrate combination wherein the lands **5** are partially formed from pin material displaced from the grooves **2** (page 4 lines 2-3).

As to claim 21, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin for insertion in a hole in a host material and frictional retention therein, comprising:

an elongated cylindrical body 1 having a longitudinal axis, a cylindrical pilot portion 4, and a retainer portion defined by a plurality of alternating helical lands 5 and

grooves 2, wherein the lands provide a retaining surface for engaging an inside surface of the hole;

the retaining surface being a radial distance from the axis that is greater than a radius of the pilot portion and occupying approximately 40% of the circumference of the retainer portion when the retainer portion is viewed in cross section perpendicular to the axis (Figures 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4,5,8,14,16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs.

As to claim 4, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs fails to disclose a pin wherein the second diameter is no greater than approximately 9% larger than the first diameter.

The applicant is reminded that a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a pin as disclosed by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs to have a second diameter no greater than approximately 9% larger than the first diameter as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 5, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs discloses a pin wherein the helical grooves 2 and lands 5 are oriented at an angle of approximately (near the value of) 45° relative to an axis of the pin (Figure 1).

As to claim 8, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs fails to disclose a pin wherein a majority of each of the lands has a substantially uniform height extending above the first diameter and the width of the land is between five and fifteen times the height.

The applicant is reminded that a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a pin as disclosed by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs to have a majority of each of the lands having a substantially uniform height extending above the first diameter and the width of the land is between five and fifteen times the height as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

As to claim 14, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs fails to disclose a pin and substrate combination wherein the first hardness is approximately 10 points higher on the Rockwell Rc scale than the second hardness.

The applicant is reminded that the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. <u>In re Leshin</u>, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a combination as disclosed by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs wherein the first hardness

Art Unit: 3679

is approximately 10 points higher on the Rockwell Rc scale than the second hardness as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

As to claim 16, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs fails to disclose a pin and substrate combination wherein the second diameter is less than approximately 9% larger than the third diameter.

The applicant is reminded that a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a combination as disclosed by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs to have a second diameter no greater than approximately 9% larger than the third diameter as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

As to claim 19, Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs fails to disclose a pin and substrate combination wherein a majority of each of the lands has a substantially uniform height extending above the third diameter and the width of the land is between five and fifteen times the height.

The applicant is reminded that a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a combination as disclosed by Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs to have a majority of each of the lands having a substantially uniform height extending above the first diameter and the width of the land is between

Application/Control Number: 10/743,940 Page 9

Art Unit: 3679

five and fifteen times the height as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed August 18, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13 and 15-21 under U.S.C. 102(b) in view of Johnson (US 2,223,871) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kerb-Konus-Vertriebs (DE 2 243 564).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571)272-7081. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571)272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,940 Page 10

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MPF

08/31/05

DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola